



GOMBE SAVANNAH

**JOURNAL OF LANGUAGE, LITERATURE AND
COMMUNICATION STUDIES (GOSAJOLLCOS)**



**DEPARTMENT OF LANGUAGES
AND LINGUISTICS
GOMBE STATE UNIVERSITY**

**Volume 6 Number 2
DECEMBER, 2025**



Savannah Journal of Language, Literature and Communication Studies (SAJOLLCOS)
Vol. 6 No. 2: December, 2025 —  ISSN: 2787-0286 & 2811-2261 (Online & Print)

**SAVANNAH JOURNAL OF LANGUAGE, LITERATURE AND COMMUNICATION
STUDIES (SAJOLLCOS)**

**DEPARTMENT OF LANGUAGES AND LINGUISTICS,
GOMBE STATE UNIVERSITY**



VOLUME 6, NO. 2, DECEMBER 2025

ISSN: ONLINE: 2811-2261, PRINT: 2787-0286

**A Publication of Department of Languages and Linguistics
Gombe State University, Gombe State**

Copyright © 2025 SAVANNAH JOURNAL OF LANGUAGE, LITERATURE AND
COMMUNICATION STUDIES
(SAJOLLCOS) Gombe State University, Gombe State. Volume 6, No. 2 December, 2025.



© Department of Languages and Linguistics, 2025
Gombe State University,
Tudun-wada Jauro Abare, Gombe -
Nigeria.

All rights reserved.

No part or whole of this Journal is allowed to be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, without prior permission of the Copyright owner.

ISSN: 2787-0286 Print & 2811-2261 Online

Printed in Nigeria @Six-Sweet Printers and Publishers

GSU, Gombe, Gombe State.

Phone No: +2348039511789

E-mail: alameenalaira@gmail.com

The Journal

Gombe Savannah Journal of Language, Literature and Communication Studies (GOSAJOLLCOS) is a peer-reviewed journal of the Department of English, Gombe State University. The journal is committed to the development of communication arts through researches in Language, Linguistics, Literature, Theatre Arts, Cultural Studies, Creative Arts, Media and Communication Studies. It has both print and online versions. The Editorial board hereby calls for thoroughly researched papers and articles on the subject areas already mentioned. Submissions of papers are accepted all year round but publication is expected to be done in May/June annually. All manuscripts should be accompanied with the sum of ten thousand (**₦10,000**) naira only. On acceptance of any manuscript, contributors will pay the sum of twenty five thousand (25,000) naira only as publication fee.



Editorial Committee

Dr. Abubakar Mohammed Gombe
Dr. Leah I. Jalo
Dr. Fatima Shuaibu Gara
Fatima M. Gurama
Mohammad Abubakar Musa

Editor-in-chief
Editor
Member
Member
Editorial Secretary

Advisory Board

Professor Saleh Abdu	Department of English, Federal University Kashere
Professor Emmanuel S. Dandaura University	Department of Theatre and Cultural Studies, Nasarawa State
Professor Muhammad Dahiru State University	Department of Languages, Yobe
Professor A. S. Abdulsalam	Department of Linguistics and Nigerian Languages, University of Ilorin
Professor E. U. Ahidjo	Department of English, University of Jos
Professor Nahum Upah Butari	Department of English and Drama, Kaduna State University
Professor Nesta Alu	Department of English, University of Jos

Editorial Policy

Savannah Journal of Languages, Literature and Communication Studies is Produced by the department of English and Literary Studies, Gombe State University, Gombe Nigeria. It invites scholarly and well researched articles on any topic related to language, literary and communication studies. Authors of article(s) should adhere to the following requirements:

- Manuscript(s) should be double spaced on A4 paper with 12 points, Times New Roman.
- Manuscript(s) length should not exceed 5000 words, including titles, references and/or notes.



- Abstract(s) should not be more than 250 words, followed by four to five keywords.
- Manuscript(s) sent to SAJOLLCOS must be original and previously unpublished.
- Manuscript(s) should adopt either the APA 7th edition or MLA 8th edition format
- Title(s) and subtitles should conform to the adopted referencing style.
- Manuscript(s) would be subjected to editing and peer reviews prior to acceptance and publication.
- Author(s) should provide a bio-data of not more than three sentences at the end of their paper stating their rank, affiliation, research interest and email address.
- All Manuscript(s) for consideration should be accompanied with non-refundable sum of ₦10,000.00 assessment fee.
- On acceptance of any manuscript, author(s) will pay the publication fee of ₦25,000.00
- Creative work publication fee of ₦5,000.00
- All editorial correspondences should be directed to:

The editor,

Savannah Journal of Language, Literary and Communication Studies, Department of Languages and Linguistics,
Gombe State University, Gombe.

Email: sajolcos@gmail.com

Website: <https://www.gombesavannahjournal.com>

For further enquiries, please contact: Editor-in-Chief

SAJOLLCOS, HOD's Office,

Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences Gombe State University, Gombe sajolcos@gsu.edu.ng,

C/o: amgombe2@gsu.edu.ng



LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

Bunmi Balogun-Oguns

Department of English and Literary
Studies, Federal University Lokoja
Bunmi.balogun@fulokoja.edu.ng
08169096071

Abubakar Abba Kaka (PhD)

Department of Languages and Linguistics,
University of Maiduguri
kakaabba31@gmail.com
08029166358

Musa Sabo

Department of General Studies, Federal
Polytechnic Damaturu, Yobe State
Musasabo36@gmail.com
0802276676

Emmanuel Bunduga Tyogema

Department of Languages and Linguistics,
Gombe State University, Gombe
emmanueltyogema@gmail.com
08060970565

Fatima Bukar Abba

07064198834
bukarsaliha@gmail.com

Bashir Uba Ibrahim, Ph.D.

Department of English and Literary
Studies, Sule Lamido University Kafin
Hausa

Bunmi Balogun-Oguns

Department of English and Literary
Studies, Federal University Lokoja
Bunmi.balogun@fulokoja.edu.ng
08169096071

Ladan Nujir

Department of English, Faculty of Arts
and Social Sciences, Gombe State
University

Patience Haye Dakup

Department of Language and Linguistics,
Gombe State University, Gombe State
patiencecadakup05@gmail.com
08066512889

Vera Anap Aaron

Department of English, University of
Jos, Jos Nigeria.
aaronv@unijos.edu.ng

Sandra K. J. Simon

Department of English, University of Jos,
Jos, Nigeria.
sandrakjsimon@gmail.com

Samuel Edem

Department of English, Nigeria Police
Academy, Wudil Kano
dmsamuel19@gmail.com



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Effect of Home-Model on the Spoken English of the Nigerian Child Bunmi Balogun-Oguns	1-14
Phonological Variation of English Loanwords in Duala Dictionary Abubakar Abba Kaka (PhD) and Musa Sabo	15-30
Societal Revitalisation: A Post-Colonial Reading of Tor Iorapuu's <i>April 1421</i> Emmanuel Bunduga Tyogema	31-39
Female Empowerment and Liberation of the Mind in Aiwanose Odafen's Tomorrow I Become a Woman Fatima Bukar Abba	40-50
Language Shift, Maintenance and Death in Hadejia Emirate: A Sociolinguistic Survey Bashir Uba Ibrahim, Ph.D.	51-68
Effect of Home-Model on The Spoken English of the Nigerian Child Bunmi Balogun-Oguns	69-84
Literary Exploration of Forced Marriage: a Postcolonial Feminist Analysis of Zulu Sofola's <i>Wedlock of the Gods</i> and Ola Rotimi's <i>our Husband has Gone Mad Again</i> Ladan Nujir	85-96
Poverty as Offspring of Corruption: An Analysis of Leadership Failure and Militancy in <i>Night Rain</i> by Chris Anyokwu Patience Haye Dakup	97-108
Oral Prose Narratives in Green: An Ecocritical Reading of Mwaghavul Folktales Vera Anap Aaron And Sandra K. J. Simon	109-122
Language and Hate Discourse: A Pragmatic Investigation of Sam Omasetye's' <i>From Don to Done'</i> Samuel Edem	123-143



Societal Revitalisation: A Post-Colonial Reading of Tor Iorapuu's *April 1421*

Emmanuel Bunduga Tyogema

Department of Languages and Linguistics, Gombe State University, Gombe
emmanueltyogema@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper offers a comprehensive post-colonial analysis of Tor Iorapuu's April 1421, situating the play within Nigeria's tradition of politically committed drama and reading it as both a critique of democratic failure and a manifesto for societal revitalization. Written against the backdrop of Nigeria's contested 2007 general elections, the play exposes electoral fraud, leadership failure, political violence, and popular disillusionment as structural legacies of colonial authoritarianism reproduced within post-independence democratic forms. Drawing on post-colonial theory particularly the works of Frantz Fanon, Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o, and Edward Said this study argues that April 1421 dramatizes elections as neo-colonial rituals that mask elite domination and silence popular agency. Beyond electoral politics, the play's symbolic construction site functions as a microcosm of society, revealing governance failure through decaying infrastructure, unpaid labour, and systemic neglect. Yet the play resists despair by foregrounding resistance, solidarity, moral regeneration, and democratic consciousness as pathways to renewal. Through satire, symbolism, and collective action, Iorapuu reimagines drama as post-colonial intervention and democratic witness, affirming literature's enduring role in Africa's struggle for ethical leadership, social justice, and genuine self-determination.

Key words: Society, Revitalisation, Post-colonialism, Reconstruction, Drama

Introduction

African drama has historically functioned as a site of political interrogation, cultural resistance, and social critique. From the anti-colonial theatre of the mid-twentieth century to contemporary post-independence engagements, African playwrights have consistently used the stage to challenge authoritarian power, expose injustice, and articulate visions of national renewal. In

Nigeria, drama has been particularly responsive to moments of political crisis, with writers such as Wole Soyinka, Ola Rotimi, and Femi Osofisan employing theatrical form to critique tyranny, corruption, and the contradictions of leadership in the post-colonial state. Tor Iorapuu's *April 1421* emerges firmly within this tradition of politically committed drama. Written against the backdrop of Nigeria's deeply contested 2007 general elections, the play dramatizes the erosion of democratic



values through electoral fraud, political violence, and elite manipulation of state institutions. Rather than presenting elections as a celebration of popular sovereignty, Iorapuu exposes them as mechanisms of domination in which outcomes are predetermined and civic participation rendered meaningless (Iorapuu 24). At the same time, *April 1421* extends its critique beyond electoral malpractice to the broader terrain of social injustice and governance failure. Set largely around a fictional construction site, the play uses decaying infrastructure, unpaid labour, and public neglect as metaphors for a broken social contract. The convergence of electoral politics and everyday suffering underscores the argument that democratic failure is inseparable from wider patterns of corruption, inequality, and neo-colonial domination.

Tor Iorapuu's *April 1421* is a powerful reflection of societal ills and a call for collective action against systemic oppression. Through the lens of a fictional construction site, Iorapuu critiques governance failures, corruption, and social injustice while illustrating the potential for societal transformation when the marginalized rise in solidarity. His play portrays the dynamics of power and resistance, offering a roadmap for dismantling hegemonies and reconstructing society on the principles of justice, equity, and accountability.

The play opens with chaos at the construction site, symbolizing a society plagued by disorder and injustice. The gunshots that disrupt the workers' routine serve as a metaphor for the constant threats and violence faced by ordinary citizens under corrupt leadership. The diverse group of protesters including job seekers, students, farmers, market women, and labourers represents a microcosm of society, unified by shared suffering and a desire for change. Iorapuu's exploration of social justice centres on the abuse of power by leaders such as the Foreman, who symbolizes oppressive governance. The protesters' grievances include unpaid wages, embezzlement of public funds, exploitation, and systemic neglect of basic needs like clean water and healthcare. This reflects real-world issues of governance in many societies, particularly in postcolonial contexts. For instance, Madam Fire highlights the long-standing neglect of public infrastructure: "The Quaduna water plant has been under repairs for 16 years. Just as the Riverside refinery has failed to supply gas to the construction site in the last 30 years" (p. 9) Iorapuu's use of the broken-down water plant and refinery in serves as a potent symbol of systemic failure and the decay of social infrastructure under oppressive governance. The fact that the Quaduna water plant has been under repair for 16 years and the Riverside refinery has failed to supply gas for 30 years speaks volumes. It's not just about



inconvenience; it represents a fundamental breakdown of the social contract. These are essential services clean water and energy resources necessary for basic survival and a functioning society. Their prolonged dysfunction points directly to the negligence and corruption of the Foreman and his regime. This situation perfectly illustrates the abuse of power Iorapuu critiques. The Foreman's leadership, instead of prioritizing the needs of the people, has demonstrably failed them. The quote highlights a crucial aspect of dismantling hegemonies: the exposure of the gap between the rhetoric of those in power and the lived realities of the people. The Foreman and his cronies likely spout promises of development and progress, but the dilapidated infrastructure stands as a stark counter-narrative, a physical manifestation of their lies and incompetence. This discrepancy fuels the protesters' grievances and underscores the urgency of their demands.

Furthermore, the extended periods of disrepair 16 and 30 years respectively suggest a deep-seated and entrenched system of corruption. It's not a single oversight, but a sustained pattern of neglect. Funds allocated for repairs have likely been embezzled, and the lack of accountability allows this cycle of decay to continue. This systemic nature of the problem is key to understanding the struggle for social reconstruction. Simply removing the Foreman might not be enough; the

rot within the system, symbolized by the broken-down infrastructure, needs to be addressed. Rebuilding the water plant and refinery becomes a metaphor for rebuilding trust in governance and establishing a system that prioritizes social welfare over personal gain. The quotation's significance also lies in its connection to postcolonial contexts. Many postcolonial nations inherit infrastructure that was often designed to serve the interests of the colonizers, not the local population. The continued neglect of this infrastructure after independence often reflects the perpetuation of exploitative power dynamics, now in the hands of local elites rather than foreign powers. Iorapuu's work, by highlighting this issue, connects the struggle for social justice to the broader context of postcolonial critique. The demand for functioning infrastructure becomes a demand for genuine self-determination and a rejection of neo-colonial forms of exploitation. It is a call for a revitalization of society based on principles of equity and justice, where the basic needs of all citizens are met, not just the privileged few.

Social justice, as a fundamental principle of revitalization, addresses the inequalities and systemic injustices that have long plagued societies. In *April 1421*, Tor Iorapuu explores these themes through the collective struggles of marginalized groups against corruption, oppression, and exploitation. His portrayal of grassroots movements highlights the



indispensable role of social justice in dismantling hegemonies and rebuilding societies on principles of equity and fairness. Social justice encompasses efforts to create an equitable society where all individuals have access to resources, opportunities, and rights, regardless of their background. Revitalization, viewed through this lens, is not merely about rebuilding physical structures but transforming the social, economic, and political frameworks that perpetuate inequality. Tor's work underscores that true revitalization must prioritize the welfare of the oppressed, addressing their grievances and empowering them to reclaim their dignity.

This paper undertakes a post-colonial reading of *April 1421*, arguing that the play presents Nigeria's electoral crisis as a continuation of colonial authoritarianism disguised within democratic structures. It further contends that Iorapuu advances a vision of societal revitalization grounded in moral accountability, popular resistance, social justice, and democratic consciousness. By integrating electoral analysis with the symbolism of the construction site and the collective struggles of marginalized groups, this study demonstrates how *April 1421* functions both as a critique of democratic collapse and as a call for national reconstruction through ethical leadership and collective action.

Theoretical Framework: Post-colonialism

Post-colonial theory provides a critical framework for understanding the democratic failure dramatized in *April 1421*. Frantz Fanon argues that political independence often results in the emergence of a national bourgeoisie that inherits colonial power structures and deploys them against the very people it claims to represent (Fanon 119). Rather than dismantling systems of oppression, post-colonial elites frequently reproduce authoritarian governance in new forms, substituting foreign rulers with local agents of domination. Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o extends this critique by emphasizing the psychological and ideological dimensions of colonial domination. For Ngũgĩ, genuine liberation cannot occur without the decolonization of values, institutions, and political consciousness (Ngũgĩ 16). Political independence that leaves colonial mentalities intact merely repackages oppression. Edward Said similarly underscores how power operates through representation, shaping narratives that legitimize domination while marginalizing dissenting voices (Said 8). These theoretical insights are central to a post-colonial reading of *April 1421*. The play exposes Nigeria's electoral process as a neo-colonial structure in which democratic rituals mask authoritarian control. Elections, rather than empowering citizens, become instruments through which political elites consolidate power and



silence popular agency (Iorapuu 31). Beyond elections, the play's depiction of decaying infrastructure and exploited labour reveals how colonial patterns of extraction and neglect persist in post-independence governance. Democratic failure thus appears not as an aberration but as a structural consequence of unresolved colonial legacies. Another crucial strand of postcolonial theory is subaltern studies, championed by Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak (271). This strand focuses on the experiences of marginalized groups within colonized societies, such as women, peasants, and indigenous peoples, who have often been relegated to the margins of historical narratives. Spivak's evocative question, "Can the subaltern speak?" emphasizes the silencing mechanisms at play and urges us to actively listen to and amplify the voices of these groups. The project of postcolonial theory extends beyond dismantling colonial legacies. It also seeks to envision alternative futures rooted in self-determination and cultural empowerment. This is evident in the works of scholars like Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o, who advocates for decolonizing the mind and reclaiming indigenous epistemologies as a foundation for rebuilding societies (Thiong'o, 19). Similarly, Aijaz Ahmad emphasizes the importance of "world making" and creating alternative structures of economic and political organization rooted in principles of social justice and ecological sustainability (Ahmad, p. 67).

Electoral Fraud and the Symbolic Silencing of the People

A central strategy in *April 1421* is the dramatization of electoral fraud as a form of symbolic violence against the electorate. Iorapuu presents elections not as neutral civic procedures but as orchestrated performances in which outcomes are fixed before votes are cast. Ballot materials are diverted, results rewritten, and electoral officers coerced into complicity (Iorapuu 27–29). These acts collectively amount to the systematic erasure of the people's voice. From a post-colonial perspective, this silencing echoes Fanon's contention that post-independence elites often fear authentic mass participation, preferring control to consent (Fanon 122). In *April 1421*, the denial of the vote is not merely administrative malpractice; it is a political declaration that the people are expendable within the democratic order. The ritual of voting becomes a hollow spectacle that legitimizes authority without deriving it from the governed. The play's emphasis on predetermined outcomes further exposes the contradiction at the heart of Nigeria's electoral democracy. Characters who anticipate results before polls close underscore the farcical nature of the process (Iorapuu 33). This dramatic irony intensifies the audience's awareness that elections, stripped of uncertainty and competition, have ceased to function as democratic instruments. Electoral fraud thus becomes both a symptom and a



cause of democratic decay, perpetuating public cynicism and disengagement. Tor through Mama Friday exposes the resilience of the masses and the brutal nature of the political class “first they killed my husband. He wont allow the losing party to rig the April 1421 election in his ward. They follwed and shot him by his door. My son, Friday was alson shot dead by some uniformed men....My son help them stuff the ballot papers for the Dishonourable Senator and they rewarded him a death certificated”(34-5). These circle of politicians can do anything just to maintain their political positions as seen above. Infact traditional rulers lost their shame to political manoeuvring and abating electoral crimes: “...the traditional ruler on my street took ballot boxes and was running away with it. The young people followed him,some on foot others used their motorcycles. In the process he sped into our compound smashing my last child and other occupants...the angry community members dragged him out and set him ablaze with the ballot papers”(36). The traditional ruler in the process of causing mayhem met his untimely death.

Leadership Failure and Neo-colonial Power Structures

Leadership in April 1421 is portrayed as profoundly compromised, driven by the imperatives of power retention rather than public service. Political figures manipulate institutions, deploy coercion, and invoke legality selectively to justify illegitimate

outcomes (Iorapuu 41–43). Their conduct reflects what Ngūgĩ identifies as the moral vacuity of post-colonial elites who inherit colonial power without transforming its ethos (Ngūgĩ 23). This failure of leadership is mirrored in the figure of the Foreman at the construction site, who symbolizes oppressive governance at the micro level. Like national political leaders, the Foreman exploits labour, embezzles resources, and suppresses dissent. His authority, insulated from accountability, reproduces colonial hierarchies in which power flows downward without responsibility. Said’s notion of power as a system of representation is also relevant here. Leaders in April 1421 deploy the language of democracy “mandate,” “process,” “order” to mask authoritarian practices (Said 8; Iorapuu 45). Similarly, the Foreman’s rhetoric of development and progress is contradicted by the visible decay of infrastructure. Leadership failure thus emerges as a structural impediment to both democratic practice and societal well-being.

Political Violence and the Militarization of Democratic Space

Violence is a persistent presence in *April 1421*, shaping both electoral politics and everyday life. Thugs intimidate voters, security forces enforce fraudulent outcomes, and fear supplants civic duty (Iorapuu 56–59). Elections are transformed into sites of confrontation rather than participation, eroding the normative



foundations of democracy. This militarization reflects the colonial genealogy of the Nigerian state, which relied on coercion to maintain order. Fanon argues that such reliance on force persists in post-colonial regimes that lack popular legitimacy (Fanon 94). In the play, violence compensates for the absence of consent; it is the means by which authority is imposed when legitimacy is lacking. The opening gunshots at the construction site extend this logic of violence beyond elections, symbolizing the constant threats faced by ordinary citizens under corrupt governance. Violence becomes a tool of discipline, conditioning civic behavior and normalizing injustice. Iorapuu depicts citizens' withdrawal from political engagement as both a rational response to danger and a tragic surrender of agency (Iorapuu 61).

The Construction Site as Microcosm of Societal Failure

The fictional construction site in *April 1421* functions as a powerful symbol of society in decay. The convergence of job seekers, students, farmers, market women, and labourers transforms the site into a microcosm of the nation, unified by shared suffering and a desire for change. Their grievances unpaid wages, embezzlement of public funds, and systemic neglect mirror the broader failures of governance. Madam Fire's lament that "The Quaduna water plant has been under repairs for 16 years" and that the Riverside refinery has failed

for decades (Iorapuu 9) encapsulates the depth of infrastructural decay. These failures are not mere inconveniences; they signify a breakdown of the social contract. Clean water and energy, essential for survival, become symbols of the state's abdication of responsibility. The prolonged neglect of infrastructure suggests entrenched patterns of corruption and institutionalized irresponsibility rather than accidental failure. That the Quaduna water plant remains under repair for sixteen years and the Riverside refinery has failed to supply gas for three decades indicates not temporary administrative lapses but a systemic culture of mismanagement sustained by elite impunity. In post-colonial terms, such infrastructural decay reflects the persistence of extractive governance models inherited from colonial rule, now administered by indigenous elites who prioritize personal accumulation over collective welfare. Within the logic of the play, infrastructure becomes a material index of political morality. Roads, refineries, and water plants do not merely fail; they testify against those entrusted with governance. As Fanon observes, the post-colonial bourgeoisie often proves incapable of national development, content instead to "manage the economy of pillage" established by colonialism (Fanon 119). Iorapuu dramatizes this failure by foregrounding infrastructure as both a physical and symbolic casualty of corrupt leadership. The abandoned construction site thus



stands as a monument to broken promises and unfulfilled national aspirations. The construction site also exposes the contradictions embedded in the rhetoric of development. While leaders and foremen invoke progress, modernization, and nation-building, the lived reality of workers is characterized by exploitation, unpaid labour, and precarious existence. This disjunction between official discourse and material conditions reinforces Said's assertion that power operates through representation, producing narratives that legitimize domination while concealing structural injustice (Said 8). In *April 1421*, development rhetoric functions as ideological camouflage, masking the systematic diversion of public resources.

Moreover, the convergence of diverse social groups at the construction site underscores the collective dimension of societal failure. Job seekers, students, farmers, and market women do not arrive as isolated victims but as representatives of a broader national constituency betrayed by the state. Their shared grievances dissolve social and occupational boundaries, revealing that infrastructural collapse affects all strata of society, albeit unevenly. This convergence transforms the site into a space of political awakening, where private suffering is rearticulated as public injustice. Importantly, the construction site is not only a symbol of decay but also a potential site of revitalization. The same space that

reveals exploitation becomes a platform for protest, dialogue, and resistance. By gathering the marginalized within a single theatrical space, Iorapuu suggests that societal revitalization must begin from the grassroots, through collective recognition of shared oppression.

Reconstruction, therefore, is not limited to rebuilding physical structures but extends to reimagining governance, accountability, and citizenship. In this sense, the construction site operates as an allegorical blueprint for national renewal. Just as abandoned infrastructure can be restored through collective effort and ethical management, so too can society be reconstructed through moral regeneration and popular engagement. Iorapuu's deployment of this setting reinforces the play's central argument: that democratic failure and social decay are not inevitable conditions but consequences of human choices and that alternative choices, grounded in justice and solidarity, remain possible.

Works Cited

- Aijaz, Ahmed. *Lineages of the Present: Ideology and Politics in Contemporary South*, Verso. 2000, P.67.
- Fanon, Frantz. *Black Skin, White Masks*. Grove Press, 1967.
- Gayatri, Spivat. "Can Subaltern Speak?: Speculation on Widow sacrifice", *Journal Wedge* 1988. P.88,



Ngugi, WaThiong'o. *Decolonising the mind: the politics of language in African literature*. London: Heinemann. 1986.

<https://cfas.howard.edu/articles/biography-ngugi-wa-thiongo>

Iorapuu Tor,. *April 1421*. African Liberty.org. 2008.

Said Edward. *Orientalism*. Pantheon Books, 1978.

About the Author

I am currently a PhD student in the Department of Languages and Linguistics at Gombe State University, Gombe. I have published academic papers in both local and international journals.